

THE DECISIVE RULE ALGORITHM IN THE CLASSIFICATION OF AGRICULTURAL CROPS BY CONDITIONS

R.J.Beglerbekov

ANNOTATION

The article developed an algorithm based on the selection of complexes of informative signs in the initial processing of agricultural crops and the construction of a decisive rule in the classification of melon varieties by conditions. Using this algorithm, based on the educational Selection (Table No. 1) presented below, it is determined which class the objects of control belong to, which is indicated in the educational selection. The experiment-test is based on the perfection of the proposed algorithm.

Key words:objects of control, identification of emblems, relevant areas of the field

The issue of identification of emblems and its application to the solution of vital problems is one of the most important and relevant areas of the field of intellectual analysis of information. Especially in the context of the digital economy, it is extremely attractive that these issues find their place in solving agricultural issues. Of course, the construction of decisive rules in the recognition of emblems [1], the selection of informative character complexes [2,3,6], as well as the skillful use of assessment calculation algorithms [5] are very useful in solving complex problems. Below is one such issue that the experiment tested.

In this, initially, control objects are formed, which are candidates from 8 classes specified in the training selection. Control objects $w_i, i = \overline{1,8}$ let's mark in appearance. Inherew_i, i- the class is read as an object of control. The object of control is selected in such a way that their characters consist of the most encountered values from within the characters corresponding to the objects of the class being looked at. For this reason, it becomes known which class these objects belong to in advance. In the habit, the algorithm built in this way is experiment-tested. For example: $w_1 = (1 1 1 1 2 1) \in W_1; w_2 = (1 1 1 2 2 2) \in W_2; w_3 = (1 2 1 1 2 1) \in W_3; w_4 = (1 2 1 1 1 2) \in W_4; w_5 = (2 2 1 1 2 2) \in W_5; w_6 = (1 3 5 2 2 2) \in W_6; w_7 = (2 1 1 1 4 2) \in W_7; w_8 = (1 4 2 2 3 1) \in W_8$. Here, the signs of the objects of control and training selection consist of nominal signs.

Suppose N-in the space of dimensional signs $x_{p1}, x_{p2}, \dots, x_{pm_p} \in X_p, p = \overline{1, r}$ let the training be berilgn selectable. Here it is $x_{pi} = (x_{pi}^1, x_{pi}^2, \dots, x_{pi}^N), i = \overline{1, m_p}$, N - expression in the space of dimensional signs.

Let's enter the following designations:

$\lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^N)$, $\mu = (\mu^1, \mu^2, \dots, \mu^N)$, being bul vectors, their components accept zero or one values. In here $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^N)$ the components of the vector indicate which character is or is not involved in the calculation work.

If $\lambda^j = 1$ in the case of j-the component is involved in the calculation work, otherwise $\lambda^j = 0$ in the case of uhol, the j-component is not involved in computational work.

Just as well, $\mu = (\mu^1, \mu^2, \dots, \mu^N)$ let's define the bul vector. The components of this vector are calculated as follows. Let's assume two $x_i, x_k \in X$ let the objects be given, without it $\mu(x_i, x_k)$ the components of the vector are calculated as follows :

$$\mu^j(x_i, x_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x_i^j - x_k^j| = 0, j = \overline{1, N}. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1).$$

In here $\mu^j(x_i, x_k) = 1$ will, if in two the corresponding components of the object $x_i^j = x_k^j$ when the reciprocal is equal. Thus, the training is for two optional objects of selection $\mu(x_i, x_k)$ a vector can be defined as a value.

Setting the issue. Let's assume $\kappa = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu^j(x_i, x_k)$ by magnitudex_i, x_k let's define the coefficient of similarity of objects. This magnitudex_i, x_k denotes the number of components of its objects that are the same.

Using these control objects, control objects are drained based on the formula given above.

Let's look at the following issue:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa_p(w_t) = \frac{1}{m_p} \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} \sum_{j=1}^N \mu^j(x_{pi}, w_t) \rightarrow \max_p \\ w_t \in W_t, x_{pi} \in X_p, p = \overline{1, r}, t = \overline{1, r}, i = \overline{1, m_p} \end{array} \right. \quad (2).$$

The solution to this issue is to assess which class the control objects belong to and whether the proposed algorithm is correct or incorrect.

At some given p (2) the object of control is found to belong to the same class if the target receives a functional maximum value. Below, in the first table, the educational selection is given in the cross-section of classes:

Table №1

Name of melon varieties		Omitted informative parameters						Regions listed in the register
		x^1	x^2	x^4	x^5	x^{20}	x^{22}	
1-class (45 object)								
MakhalliysariqHandalak	x_1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1,2,...,13
Samarkandsariqhandalagi	x_2	1	1	1	1	2	1	8,4,3
Zarg'aldog'Handalak	x_3	1	1	1	1	2	1	8
HandalakZamcha	x_4	1	1	1	1	2	1	1,13
MakhallyHandalak	x_5	1	1	1	1	1	2	11,12
SariqZamcha	x_6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,13
Ko'kZamcha	x_7	1	1	1	1	2	2	1,13
Oqkalla-po'sh	x_8	1	1	1	1	2	1	1,5,8,10
MakhallySamarkandobinovvoti	x_{10}	1	1	1	1	2	1	8
Olabo'ri-kalla	x_{11}	1	1	1	1	2	1	1,2,...,13
Makhallydagbedi	x_{12}	1	1	1	1	2	1	5,7,8,9
Oqbosvoldi	x_{13}	1	1	1	1	2	1	10,11
Makhallybo'ri-kalla	x_{14}	1	1	1	1	2	1	3,6,8,12
Qoraqosh	x_{15}	1	1	1	1	2	1	5,8,9,12
Maxalliy (k-1161)	x_{16}	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
Zarmiton	x_{17}	1	1	1	1	2	1	5,8,9
Maxalliy (k-1162)	x_{18}	1	1	1	1	2	1	2,7
Assati 3806	x_{19}	1	1	1	1	2	1	12
ToshkentAssatisi	x_{20}	1	1	1	1	2	1	7,11
Makhally (k-1163)	x_{24}	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,13
Toshloqi 862	x_{30}	1	1	1	1	2	1	1,2,...,13
Lazzatli	x_{31}	1	1	1	1	2	2	3,10,11
Oq urug'1157	x_{34}	1	1	1	1	2	1	3,8,10,11
Bargi 816	x_{35}	1	1	1	1	2	2	11,12
Maxalliyamiri	x_{36}	1	1	1	1	2	2	1,3,5,8,9,10
Amiri	x_{37}	1	1	1	1	2	1	1,3,8,13
Doniyor	x_{43}	1	1	1	1	1	2	3,8
Makhallyshirinpo'choq	x_{44}	1	1	1	1	2	1	1,13
Makhally (k-1166)	x_{45}	1	1	1	1	2	1	12,13
Zarkokil	x_{46}	1	1	1	1	2	1	2,7
Kizilshakarpalak 2580	x_{49}	1	1	1	1	2	2	12
Oltinvodiy	x_{52}	1	1	1	1	2	2	1,2,...,13
Makhally (k-1167)	x_{55}	1	1	1	1	2	1	12
Jiydayaproq	x_{56}	1	1	1	1	2	1	13
Maxalliy (k-1168)	x_{58}	1	1	1	1	2	1	4,8,10
Baytiqo'rg'on 424	x_{61}	1	1	1	1	2	1	4,10,11
Oqetliindamas	x_{62}	1	1	1	1	2	1	12
Qizilurug'	x_{63}	1	1	1	1	2	2	4,10,11
Baqiraman	x_{66}	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
Qoraqand	x_{76}	1	1	1	1	2	2	1,4,8,9,10,13
Maxalliy (k-1174)	x_{78}	1	1	1	1	2	2	8
Maxalliy (k-1171)	x_{80}	1	1	1	1	2	2	3,8,13
Tuyaqovun	x_{84}	1	1	1	1	2	1	13
Shoyiqovun	x_{92}	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,13
Maxalliy (k-1178)	x_{93}	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
2-class (6 object)								
Ko'kkalla-po'sh	x_9	1	1	2	1	2	2	3,5,6,8,9

Qorapo'choq 3744	x_{100}	2	2	1	1	2	2	2,7,10,11,12
GulobiXorazmiy	x_{104}	2	2	1	1	2	2	3,13
Ko'kgulobi 670	x_{106}	2	1	1	1	2	1	1,5,9
Qariqiz	x_{107}	2	2	1	1	1	1	1,3,8,13
6-class (1 object)								
Shirali	x_{57}	1	3	5	2	2	2	11,12
7-class (2 object)								
Aravakash 1219	x_{68}	2	1	1	1	4	2	4,5,8,11,12
Qoragulobi	x_{103}	2	1	1	1	2	2	1,8,12,13
8-class (1 object)								
To'yma	x_{88}	1	4	2	2	3	1	1,2,...,13

The first column of this table presents melon varieties delivered in Uzbekistan, the second column contains the designation of melon varieties, the nominal signs of melon varieties in 3-8 columns, and in Column 9 which region this melon variety is etazed according to the state register. The table below shows the conditions that can be planted on the basis of the State Register of the Republic of Uzbekistan on the cross - section. The last column in the table is represented as follows:

Name	Number
RepublicOfKarakalpakstan	1
Andijan region	2
Bukhararegion	3
Jizzakhregion	4
Kashkadaryaregion	5
Navoiregion	6
Namanganregion	7
Samarkandregion	8
Surkhandaryaregion	9
Syrdaryaregion	10
Tashkentregion	11
Ferganaregion	12
Khorezmregion	13

Recall that the elements of Table No. 1 are composed of nominal signs. (1) actions on its elements are performed to perform mathematical operations on these elements $\mu(x_i, x_k)$ the elements of the function are formed. Then, (2) - determination of the solution of the optimization problem is carried out on the basis of the following algorithm.

Algorithm. So, each object in 8 class objects and classes is represented by a complex of informative characters from 6:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I-step:} & \text{Initially, } t = 1 \text{ in the case of } w_1 = (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1) \text{ is equal to and } p = 1; m_1 = 45; \nu_1(w_1) = \\ & \frac{1}{45} \sum_{i=1}^{45} \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{1i}, w_1) = \frac{1}{45} \sum_{i=1}^{45} [\mu^1(x_{1i}, w_1) + \mu^2(x_{1i}, w_1) + \mu^3(x_{1i}, w_1) + \mu^4(x_{1i}, w_1) + \\ & \mu^5(x_{1i}, w_1) + \mu^6(x_{1i}, w_1)] = \frac{1}{45} \cdot (45 + 45 + 45 + 45 + 40 + 32) = 5,6 \end{aligned}$$

$w_1 = (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1)$ for the control object X_1 class objects voted 5.6. For this object X_2 we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II-step:} & p = 2; m_2 = 6; \nu_2(w_1) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{2i}, w_1) = \\ & = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 [\mu^1(x_{2i}, w_1) + \mu^2(x_{2i}, w_1) + \mu^3(x_{2i}, w_1) + \mu^4(x_{2i}, w_1) + \mu^5(x_{2i}, w_1) + \mu^6(x_{2i}, w_1)] = \frac{1}{6} \\ & \cdot (6 + 6 + 3 + 2 + 6 + 0) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot 23 = 3,83; \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{III-step:} p = 3; m_3 = 40; \nu_3(w_1) = \frac{1}{40} \sum_{i=1}^{40} \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{3i}, w_1) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{40} \sum_{i=1}^{40} [\mu^1(x_{3i}, w_1) + \mu^2(x_{3i}, w_1) + \mu^3(x_{3i}, w_1) + \mu^4(x_{3i}, w_1) + \mu^5(x_{3i}, w_1) + \mu^6(x_{3i}, w_1)] = \frac{1}{40} \cdot (26 + 0 + 39 + 40 + 35 + 34) = \frac{1}{40} \cdot (70 + 104) = 4,35;$$

Given $w_1 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1)$ for the control object X_3 class facilities gave 4.35 votes. For this object X_4 we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IV-step: } p &= 4; m_4 = 6; \kappa_4(w_1) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{4i}, w_1) = \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 [\mu^1(x_{4i}, w_1) + \mu^2(x_{4i}, w_1) + \mu^3(x_{4i}, w_1) + \mu^4(x_{4i}, w_1) + \mu^5(x_{4i}, w_1) + \mu^6(x_{4i}, w_1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \cdot (6 + 0 + 6 + 4 + 1 + 0) = 2,83; \end{aligned}$$

Given $w_1 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1)$ for the control object X_4 class facilities voted 2.83. $w_1 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1); X_5$ we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{V-step: } p &= 5; m_5 = 7; \kappa_5(w_1) = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{i=1}^7 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{5i}, w_1) = \\ &= \frac{1}{7} \sum_{i=1}^7 [\mu^1(x_{5i}, w_1) + \mu^2(x_{5i}, w_1) + \mu^3(x_{5i}, w_1) + \mu^4(x_{5i}, w_1) + \mu^5(x_{5i}, w_1) + \mu^6(x_{5i}, w_1)] = \frac{1}{7} \\ &\quad \cdot (0 + 1 + 7 + 7 + 3 + 5) = \frac{1}{7} \cdot 23 = 3,28; \end{aligned}$$

$w_1 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1)$ for the control object X_5 class facilities voted 3.28. For this given control object X_6 we calculate the sound that the class gives objects.

$$\text{VI-step: } p = 6; m_6 = 1; \kappa_6(w_1) = \sum_{i=1}^1 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{6i}, w_1) = (1 + 1) = 2;$$

$w_1 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1)$ for the control object X_6 class objects gave 2 votes. For this given control object X_7 we calculate the sound that the class gives objects.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VII-step: } p &= 7; m_7 = 2; \kappa_7(w_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{7i}, w_1) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 [\mu^1(x_{7i}, w_1) + \mu^2(x_{7i}, w_1) + \mu^3(x_{7i}, w_1) + \mu^4(x_{7i}, w_1) + \mu^5(x_{7i}, w_1) + \mu^6(x_{7i}, w_1)] \\ &= (0 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 0) = 3,5; \end{aligned}$$

so, $w_1 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1)$ for the control object X_7 class objects gave 3.5 votes. For this given control object X_8 we calculate the sound that the class gives objects.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VIII-step: } p &= 8; m_8 = 1; \kappa_8(\bar{x}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^1 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{8i}, w_1) = (1 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 1) = 2; \\ &\max_{x_p(w_1)} (5,6; 0,51; 4,35; 2,83; 3,29; 2; 3,5; 2) = 5,6; p = \overline{1,\dots,8}; w_1 \in X_1; (3). \end{aligned}$$

The resulting result is formed according to (3) w_1 control object X_1 it was established that it belongs to the class, that is, to its class.

Similarly, the 8 steps viewed in the algorithm are in case $t=2w_2 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2)$ it is also returned for the control object, in which:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 1; m_1 = 45; \kappa_1(w_2) = \frac{1}{45} \sum_{i=1}^{45} \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{1i}, w_2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{45} \sum_{i=1}^{45} [\mu^1(x_{1i}, w_2) + \mu^2(x_{1i}, w_2) + \mu^3(x_{1i}, w_2) + \mu^4(x_{1i}, w_2) + \mu^5(x_{1i}, w_2) + \mu^6(x_{1i}, w_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{45} (45 + 45 + 45 + 0 + 40 + 13) = 4,18; \end{aligned}$$

So, $w_2 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2)$ for the control object X_1 class objects 4,18 they voted. For this object X_2 we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$p = 2; m_2 = 6; \kappa_2(w_2) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{2i}, w_2) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 [\mu^1(x_{2i}, w_2) + \mu^2(x_{2i}, w_2) + \mu^3(x_{2i}, w_2) + \mu^4(x_{2i}, w_2) + \mu^5(x_{2i}, w_2) + \mu^6(x_{2i}, w_2)] = \frac{1}{6} \cdot (6 + 6 + 3 + 3 + 6 + 6) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot 30 = 5;$$

$w_2 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2)$ for the control object X_2 class objects gave 5 votes. For this object X_3 we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$\begin{aligned} p = 3; m_3 = 40; \kappa_3(w_2) &= \frac{1}{40} \sum_{i=1}^{40} \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{3i}, w_2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{40} \sum_{i=1}^{40} [\mu^1(x_{3i}, w_2) + \mu^2(x_{3i}, w_2) + \mu^3(x_{3i}, w_2) + \mu^4(x_{3i}, w_2) + \mu^5(x_{3i}, w_2) + \mu^6(x_{3i}, w_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{40} (26 + 0 + 39 + 35 + 6) = \frac{106}{40} = 2,65; \end{aligned}$$

so, $w_2 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2)$ for the control object X_3 class facilities gave 2.65 votes. For this object X_4 we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$\begin{aligned} p = 4; m_4 = 6; \kappa_4(w_2) &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{4i}, w_2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 [\mu^1(x_{4i}, w_2) + \mu^2(x_{4i}, w_2) + \mu^3(x_{4i}, w_2) + \mu^4(x_{4i}, w_2) + \mu^5(x_{4i}, w_2) + \mu^6(x_{4i}, w_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{6} (6 + 0 + 6 + 2 + 1 + 6) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot 21 = 3,5; \\ p = 5; m_5 = 7; \kappa_5(w_2) &= \frac{1}{7} \sum_{i=1}^7 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{5i}, w_2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{7} \sum_{i=1}^7 [\mu^1(x_{5i}, w_2) + \mu^2(x_{5i}, w_2) + \mu^3(x_{5i}, w_2) + \mu^4(x_{5i}, w_2) + \mu^5(x_{5i}, w_2) + \mu^6(x_{5i}, w_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{7} (0 + 1 + 7 + 0 + 3 + 2) = \frac{1}{7} \cdot 13 = 1,86; \end{aligned}$$

$w_2 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2)$ for the control object X_5 class objects gave 1.86 votes. For this object X_6 we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$\begin{aligned} p = 6; m_6 = 1; \kappa_6(w_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^1 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{6i}, w_2) \\ &= [\mu^1(x_{6i}, w_2) + \mu^2(x_{6i}, w_2) + \mu^3(x_{6i}, w_2) + \mu^4(x_{6i}, w_2) + \mu^5(x_{6i}, w_2) + \mu^6(x_{6i}, w_2)] = (1 + 0 \\ &\quad + 0 + 1 + 1 + 1) = 4; \end{aligned}$$

$w_2 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2)$ for the control object X_6 class objects voted 4. In here X_7 we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$\begin{aligned} p = 7; m_7 = 2; \kappa_7(w_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{7i}, w_2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^7 [\mu^1(x_{7i}, w_2) + \mu^2(x_{7i}, w_2) + \mu^3(x_{7i}, w_2) + \mu^4(x_{7i}, w_2) + \mu^5(x_{7i}, w_2) + \mu^6(x_{7i}, w_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (0 + 2 + 2 + 0 + 1 + 2) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 7 = 3,5; \end{aligned}$$

$w_2 = (1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2)$ for the control object X_7 class objects gave 3.5 votes. For this object X_8 we calculate the sound given by class objects.

$$\begin{aligned} p = 8; m_8 = 1; \kappa_8(w_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^1 \sum_{j=1}^6 \mu^j(x_{8i}, w_2) \\ &= [\mu^1(x_{8i}, w_2) + \mu^2(x_{8i}, w_2) + \mu^3(x_{8i}, w_2) + \mu^4(x_{8i}, w_2) + \mu^5(x_{8i}, w_2) + \mu^6(x_{8i}, w_2)] \\ &= (1 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0) = 2; \\ \max_{\kappa_p(w_2)} (4, 18; 5; 2, 65; 3, 5; 1, 86; 4; 3, 5; 2) &= 5; p = \overline{1, \dots, 8}; w_2 \in X_2. \end{aligned}$$

80	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
81	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
82	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
83	1	2	1	1	5	1	3,71	1,83	4,5	3,67	3,57	1	2	2
84	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
85	1	2	1	1	2	1	4,6	2,83	5,35	3,83	4	2	2,5	2
86	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
87	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
88	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
89	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
90	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
91	2	2	1	1	2	1	3,6	1,83	5.05	2,83	5	1	3,5	1
92	1	2	1	1	1	2	3,4	2,83	3,85	5,33	3,43	2	3	1
93	1	2	1	1	1	2	3,4	2,83	3,85	5,33	3,43	2	3	1
94	1	2	1	1	1	2	3,4	2,83	3,85	5,33	3,43	2	3	1
95	1	2	1	2	1	2	2,4	3	2,85	5	2,43	3	2	2
96	1	2	1	2	2	2	3,18	4	3,65	4,5	2,57	4	2,5	2
97	1	2	1	1	3	2	3,29	2,83	3,8	4,83	3,43	2	3	2
98	2	2	1	1	3	1	2,71	0,83	4,2	2,83	4,86	0	3	2
99	2	2	1	1	1	1	2,82	0,83	4,25	3,33	4,86	0	3	1
100	2	2	1	1	3	1	2,71	0,83	4,2	2,83	4,86	0	3	2
101	2	2	1	1	2	2	3,18	2,83	4,35	3,83	4,57	2	4,5	0
102	2	2	1	1	2	2	3,18	2,83	4,35	3,83	4,57	2	4,5	0
103	2	1	1	1	2	1	4,6	2,83	4,05	1,83	4,29	1	4,5	1
104	2	2	1	1	1	1	2,82	0,83	4,25	3,33	4,86	0	3	1
105	1	3	5	2	2	2	2,18	3,5	1,68	2,5	0,71	6	1,5	2
106	2	1	1	1	4	2	3,29	2,83	2,48	2,67	3,43	1	5,5	0
107	2	1	1	1	2	2	4,18	3,83	3,35	2,83	3,86	2	5,5	0
108	1	4	2	2	3	1	1,71	1,83	1,52	1,5	1	2	0	6

CONCLUSION

In agriculture, an algorithmic supply of a decisive rule for the classification and distribution of melon varieties in the space of informative signs has been developed. Based on the developed algorithm, preliminary processing work on melon varieties was carried out in the space of informative signs. First, an experiment-test was carried out in the control selections, and then a Test in the Real table. The results were presented in Table No. 2 and Table No. 3. In Real data, a result of 93.5% was achieved.

REFERENCES

1. Kamilov M.M., Nishanov A.H., Beglyarbekov R.J. Application of the decisive rule for the selection of informative sets of features // Scientific and Technical Journal. "Chemical technology. Control and management". – Tashkent, 2017, No. 3, C.82-85.
2. Nishanov A.X., Beglerbekov R.J., Akhmedov O.K. Hybrid algorithm for identifying symbols in the space of informative signs // scientific-technical and information analytical Journal of TUIT Tashkent-4(44)/2017, 62-69 P.
3. Камилов М.М., Нишанов А.Х., Беглербеков Р.Ж. Modified Stagesof Algorithms for Computing Estimates in the Space of Informative Feaures // International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE) ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-8 Issue-6S, April 2019 (Scopus)
4. Kamshilov M.M., Nishanov A.Kh., Beglyarbekov R.Zh. Improvement of methods for calculating estimates using Fisher-type informative criteria // Scientific and Technical Journal. "Chemical technology. Control and management". – Tashkent, 2019, No. 1(85), C.77-82.
5. Камилов М.М., Нишанов А.Х., Беглербеков Р.Ж., Информатив белгилар фазосида ϵ – бусакавий кийматни аниклаш алгоритми ва кулланилиши // International conference on importance of information

technologies in innovative development of real sectors of economy, dedicated to the 1235th anniversary of the birth of Muhammed al – Khwarizmi, Aprel 5-6, 2018 Tashkent, 319-322 б.

6. Нишанов А.Х.,Ақбаралиев Б.Б,Беглербеков Р.Ж., Ахмедов О.К.,Тажиев Ш.К.,Холикназаров Р. Analytical method for selection an informative set of features with limited resources in the pattern recognition problem // E3S Web of Conferences 284, 04018 (2021) TPACEE-2021.