FACTORS FORENHANCING ACHIEVEMENT IN BIOLOGY AT HIGHER SECONDARY LEVEL

S.SABINA JOSE and Dr.A.EDWARD WILLIAM BENJAMIN

S.SABINA JOSE Ph.D.,

Research Scholar, Department of Education, Bharathidasan University, Trichirapally – 620 024, Tamil Nadu.

Dr. A. EDWARD WILLIAM BENJAMIN,

Professor and Director, Center for Distance Education, Bharathidasan University, Trichirapally–620 024, Tamil Nadu.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to identify thefactors for enhancing academic achievement in biology at higher secondary level. The population of the study is higher secondary level students studying 11th standard in Madurai District. The investigators selected the sample consists of 150 higher secondary students with the simple random technique. Achievement in Biology Tool for the Higher Secondary Students constructed and validated by Sabina Jose. S., A. Edward William Benjamin. (2020) adopted to collect the data. Interest Level, Motivation Level, Attitude Level, Study Habits, Future Career, School Environment, Study Skills, Classroom Environment and Teachers Efficiency was tested with the background variables like gender, locality of the school, locality of the students, type of management and medium of instruction. The findings of the study shows that there is no significant difference in the achievement in biology with respect to the background variables.

KEYWORDS: Factors for Enhancing, Achievement in Biology, Higher Secondary Level

INTRODUCTION

Education is an essential instrument for the progress of any nation. School serves as a recognized channel of imparting knowledgewith the network of students, teachers and parents. Teachers are the implementers of the educational programme in the school through the translation of educational theories into practice. Today, the student centred methods of learning plays a significant role in academic achievement. More than ever there is a dire need for studying life science –biology in the school due to growing requirements of biologist, doctors and scientist. Biology find answers to the concerns on the health, illness and severe situations like pandemic. Biology is a compulsory subject for any science oriented course. The scope of biology is diverse as it starts its specification from single cell organism to complex human being. The knowledge acquired in Biology subject is applied in many fields as Medicine, Biochemistry, Pharmacy, Microbiology, Agriculture etc. In spite of its importance, the performance of students needs lot of improvement amonghighersecondary level students. The influencing factors can enable the students to perform their optimum level in biology for the progress of self and of the nation.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Talton and Simpson (1985) made a study on many factors that influence attitudes and achievement among adolescents. Some of the factors are associated with parental background and family environment. Other factors relate to individual characteristics such as self-concept, locus of control, and achievement motivation. Still other variables are associated with schools influences such as class climate, teachers, and administrative styles.

Suman B. (2011) conducted a research on influence of parents' education and parental occupation on academic achievement of students. He discoveredthat education and occupation of parents positively influence the academic achievement of children.

Dinah (2013) notes that availability of text books, laboratory apparatus and other learning resources contribute significantly to the performance of students in Biology examination.

Auwalu et al (2014) remarks that factors responsible for ineffective teaching and learning as well as poor enrolment of students in biology. The factors include lack of exposure to practical work, lack of qualified teachers, ineffective use of teaching methods and inadequacy of computers.

FACTORS FOR ENHANCING ACHIEVEMENT IN BIOLOGY

Interest level: Biology courses train students for a wide variety of careers, from medical doctors to conservation biologists to academic or industry researchers etc. Students, when provided with student cantered resources and teaching methodologies create interest in learning Biology.

Motivational level: Achieving high motivation in the classroom leads to higher levels of understanding, creativity, productivity and achievement. These positive outcomes make motivation as the most important elements of learning.

Attitude level: Biology is closely associated with a lot of disciplines and it serves humanity in the fields of agriculture, industry, medicine, and pharmacy along with many more. (Dilek Sultan, Hüseyin Abdurrahman, 2020). Achievement in biology takes place with appropriate scientific attitude that drives students to achieve their goal.

Study habits: The good study habits permits students to study independently at home and aspire for higher educational profession. The formation of good study habits in secondary school level further serves as the basis for students' performance in examinations and groom the future life.

Future career : Studying biology promises students an exciting and rich professional career with a wide array of opportunities to study what they love. Studying biology offers students flexibility in their career paths. Biology majors are able to learn about various aspects of biology like ecology, cells biology and marine life etc.

School environment: School Environment plays a foremost role in the life of every individual whether students, teachers, employer or employee.Poor lighting, noise, high levels of carbon dioxide in the classroom, and inconsistent temperature make teaching and learning difficult. The equipped lab facilities and practical sessions enhance biology learning in students.

Study skills: Study habits are at the core of a learner's academic success. It is an action like reading, taking notes, conducting study groups that students perform frequently, and regularly accomplishing the learning goals (Jhoselle Tus et al 2020). The studyhabit of the students are also based on budgeting time, physical condition, learning motivation, memory, taking examinations and health.

Class room environment:Classroom environment refers to all classroom facilitates and students' academic materials that can be used for the purpose of teaching and learning. Onwuakpa & Akpan (2000) classified classroom environment into three structures which are the physical, psychological and sociological environment.

Teacher's efficiency:Biology teacher uses a variety of methods to make the biology curriculum accessible to students of different cognitive levels and learning styles. Teachers should continually evaluate the effectiveness of their chosen methods by measuring student progress.

NEED AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

In order to improve the quality of education, certain innovative strategies are developed that will enhance the educational standards. In addition to that, from the students side there must have some important steps, which form the basis for their academic achievement. Here the investigator thought that students' achievements in biology depends on nine influencing factors.

Present study enhances the factors of the studentsin learning biology and helps them to achieve their educational goal. This study will definitely help to achieve better results in biology learning. This study may definitely be supportive to the parents and teachers in home as well as in schools while dealing with young minds incorporating the attitudes mentioned in the study.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

- 1. To find out whether there is any significant difference between Boys and Girls athigher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.
- 2. To find out whether there is any significant difference between Rural and Urban school at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biologyand its Dimensions.
- 3. To find out whether there is any significant difference between Rural and Urban students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.
- 4. To find out whether there is any significant difference among Government, Govt. Aided and Private Students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.
- 5. To find out whether there is any significant difference between Tamil medium and English medium students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.

HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

- 1. There is nosignificant difference between Boys and Girls at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.
- 2. There is nosignificant difference between Rural and Urban school at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biologyand its Dimensions.
- 3. There is nosignificant difference between Rural and Urban students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.
- 4. There is nosignificant difference among Government, Govt. Aided and Private Students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.
- 5. There is nosignificant difference between Tamil medium and English medium students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.

METHODOLOGY

TOOLS

Achievement in Biology Inventory for higher secondary level students by Sabina Jose. S., Edward William Benjamin. A. (2020) was used to collect the data. It consists of 43 items belonging to 9 dimensions of Achievement in Biology. The minimum score for the tool is 43 and maximum score of the tool is 215. The intrinsic validity of achievement in biology inventory is 0.809.

SAMPLE

This present study conducted on a sample of 150 students of class 11th of Government, Government aided and Private schools of Madurai District. A simple random sampling technique was employed.

TESTING OF HYPOTHESIS

Hypothesis: 1

There is nosignificant difference between Boys and Girls at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.

Table-1: Difference in the Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions of Students at Higher Secondary
Level with Respect to Gender

Gender		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	t value	Sig. Level
Interest Level	Boys	75	22.84	1.534	.177	175	NS
Interest Level	Girls	75	22.65	1.656	.191	.475	
Mativation Laval	Boys	75	22.11	1.214	.140	776	NC
Mouvation Level	Girls	75	22.05	1.077	.124	.770	
Attitude Level	Boys	75	17.95	1.173	.135	.630	NS
Attitude Level	Girls	75	17.85	1.193	.138		IN S
Study Habita	Boys	75	23.20	1.405	.162	217	NC
Study Habits	Girls	75	22.96	1.520	.175	.517	INS
Future Coreer	Boys	75	18.11	1.181	.136	.732	NS
Future Career	Girls	75	18.04	1.202	.139		
School	Boys	75	22.01	1.615	.186	.915	NS
Environment	Girls	75	22.04	1.447	.167		113
Study Skille	Boys	75	22.45	1.154	.133	202	NG
Study Skins	Girls	75	22.24	1.314	.152	.292	113
Classroom	Boys	75	23.29	1.206	.139	1/18	NS
Environment	Girls	75	22.99	1.370	.158	.140	115
Teachers	Boys	75	22.61	1.432	.165	712	NS
Efficiency	Girls	75	22.69	1.219	.141	./13	GAT
Total	Boys	75	194.57	7.467	.862	269	NS
10181	Girls	75	193.52	6.797	.785	.368	NS

It is inferred from the above table that the overall mean score of boys students is 194.57 and girls students is 193.52. This shows that the boys' students have higher achievement in biology than girls' students. All the dimensions show that their't' values are not significant. The calculated't' value of achievement in biology is 0.368 which is greater than 0.05 levels of significance. This shows that there is no significant difference between boys and girls of higher secondary level in their achievement in biology. **Hence the hypothesis is accepted.**

Hypothesis: 2

There is no significant difference between Rural and Urban school at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biologyand its Dimensions.

Locality of the Sch	ool	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	t value	Sig. Level
Interest Lovel	Rural	75	22.68	1.620	.187	610	NS
Interest Lever	Urban	75	22.81	1.574	.182	.010	113
Mativation Laval	Rural	75	22.15	1.111	.128	177	NS
	Urban	75	22.01	1.180	.136	.477	CN1
Attitudo Loval	Rural	75	17.83	1.256	.145	.448	NC
Attitude Level	Urban	75	17.97	1.102	.127		IND
Study Habita	Rural	75	23.07	1.510	.174	012	NS
Study Habits	Urban	75	23.09	1.425	.165	.912	
Estern Commu	Rural	75	17.99	1.268	.146	373	NS
Future Career	Urban	75	18.16	1.103	.127		
School	Rural	75	22.03	1.551	.179	1.000	NS
Environment	Urban	75	22.03	1.515	.175		
Study Skilla	Rural	75	22.32	1.286	.148	702	NC
Study Skills	Urban	75	22.37	1.194	.138	.195	
Classroom	Rural	75	23.24	1.261	.146	216	NS
Environment	Urban	75	23.04	1.330	.154	.340	
Teachers	Rural	75	22.60	1.385	.160	624	NC
Efficiency	Urban	75	22.71	1.271	.147	.024	IND
Total	Rural	75	193.89	7.481	.864	702	NS
Total	Urban	75	194.20	6.820	.788	. 193	NS

 Table- 2: Difference in the Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions of Students at Higher Secondary

 Level with Respect to Locality of the School

It is inferred from the above table that the overall mean score of rural schools are 193.89 and urban schools are 194.20. This shows that the urban schools have higher achievement in biology than rural schools. All the dimensions show that their 't' values are no significant. The calculated 't' value of achievement in biology is 0.793 which is greater than the significant at 0.05 level. This shows that there is no significant difference between urban schools and rural schools students at higher secondary level in their achievement in biology. **Hence the hypothesis is accepted.**

Hypothesis: 3

There is no significant difference between Rural and Urban students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.

Table- 3: Difference in the Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions of Students at Higher Secondary
Level with Respect to Locality of the Students

Locality of the Students		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	t value	Sig. Level
Interest Level	Rural	70	22.73	1.512	.181	806	NS
Interest Lever	Urban	80	22.76	1.671	.187	.890	IND
Motivation Level	Rural	70	22.09	1.018	.122	.954	NS
Mouvation Level	Urban	80	22.08	1.251	.140		
Attitudo Loval	Rural	70	17.81	1.107	.132	.404	NC
Attitude Level	Urban	80	17.98	1.242	.139		IND
Study Habita	Rural	70	23.09	1.370	.164	.964	NS
Study Habits	Urban	80	23.08	1.549	.173		115
Future Career	Rural	70	17.84	1.315	.157	0.28	c
	Urban	80	18.28	1.031	.115	.020	3

International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education (INT-JECSE) DOI:10.9756/INTJECSE/V14I5.449 ISSN: 1308-5581 Vol 14, Issue 05 2022

School Environment	Rural	70	21.96	1.449	.173	601	NS
	Urban	80	22.09	1.601	.179	.001	
Study Skills	Rural	70	22.40	1.095	.131	619	NS
	Urban	80	22.30	1.354	.151	.018	
Classroom	Rural	70	23.17	1.318	.158	.783	NS
Environment	Urban	80	23.11	1.283	.143		
Teachers	Rural	70	22.59	1.335	.160	561	NS
Efficiency	Urban	80	22.71	1.324	.148	.501	
Total	Rural	70	193.67	6.374	.762	542	NC
	Urban	80	194.38	7.766	.868	.545	IND

It is inferred from the above table that the overall mean score of rural students are 193.67 and urban students are 194.38. This shows that the urban students have higher achievement in biology than rural students.From the above table the dimension such as future career shows that their significant value is significant. The other dimensions such as interest level, motivation level, Attitude level, study habits, school environment, study skills, classroom environment and teachers efficiency show that their significant values are not significant. The calculated significant value of achievement in biology is 0.543 which is greater than 0.05 levels of significance. This shows that there is no significant difference among locality of the students at higher secondary level in their achievement in biology.**Hence the hypothesis is accepted.**

Hypothesis: 4

There is no significant difference among Government, Govt. Aided and Private Students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.

Table - 4: Difference in the Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions of Students at Higher Secondar	ry
Level with Respect to Type of Management	

Type of Management		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error
	Govt	50	22.82	1.438	.203
Interest Level	Govt. Aided	50	22.76	1.611	.228
	Private	50	22.66	1.745	.247
	Total	150	22.75	1.594	.130
	Govt	50	21.98	1.270	.180
Motivation Level	Govt. Aided	50	22.10	1.111	.157
	Private	50	22.16	1.057	.149
	Total	150	22.08	1.144	.093
	Govt	50	17.84	1.167	.165
Attitude Level	Govt. Aided	50	17.98	1.078	.153
	Private	50	17.88	1.304	.184
	Total	150	17.90	1.180	.096
	Govt	50	23.04	1.484	.210
Study Habits	Govt. Aided	50	23.04	1.484	.210
Study Husits	Private	50	23.16	1.448	.205
	Total	150	23.08	1.463	.119
	Govt	50	18.02	1.220	.173
Future Career	Govt. Aided	50	18.10	1.182	.167
	Private	50	18.10	1.182	.167

International Journal of Early Childhood Special H	Education (INT-JECSE)
DOI:10.9756/INTJECSE/V14I5.449 ISSN: 1308-558	1 Vol 14, Issue 05 2022

	Total	150	18.07	1.188	.097
	Govt	50	21.98	1.732	.245
School Environment	Govt. Aided	50	22.06	1.202	.170
	Private	50	22.04	1.628	.230
	Total	150	22.03	1.528	.125
	Govt	50	22.44	1.181	.167
Study Skills	Govt. Aided	50	22.20	1.385	.196
	Private	50	22.40	1.143	.162
	Total	150	22.35	1.237	.101
	Govt	50	23.14	1.370	.194
Classroom	Govt. Aided	50	23.16	1.299	.184
Environment	Private	50	23.12	1.239	.175
	Total	150	23.14	1.295	.106
	Govt	50	22.62	1.413	.200
Teachers Efficiency	Govt. Aided	50	22.70	1.313	.186
	Private	50	22.64	1.274	.180
	Total	150	22.65	1.326	.108
	Govt	50	193.88	7.258	1.026
Total	Govt. Aided	50	194.10	7.203	1.019
	Private	50	194.16	7.087	1.002
	Total	150	194.05	7.136	.583

It is inferred from the above table that the overall mean score of Government students are 193.88, Govt. Aided students are 194.10 and Private students are 194.16. This shows that private students have highest level of achievement in biology and Govt. students have lowest level of achievement in biology. **4** (A) ANOVA

Type of Managem	ent	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig. Value	Sig. Level
	Between Groups	.653	2	.327			
Interest Level	Within Groups	377.720	147	2.570	.127	.881	NS
	Total	378.373	149				
Motivation Level	Between Groups	.840	2	.420	.318	.728	NS
	Within Groups	194.200	147	1.321			
	Total	195.040	149				
	Between Groups	.520	2	.260	.185	.832	NS
Attitude Level	Within Groups	206.980	147	1.408			
	Total	207.500	149				
Study Habits	Between Groups	.480	2	.240	111	805	NS
	Within Groups	318.560	147	2.167	111 	.695	

International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education (INT-JECSE) DOI:10.9756/INTJECSE/V14I5.449 ISSN: 1308-5581 Vol 14, Issue 05 2022

	Total	319.040	149				
	Between Groups	.213	2	.107			
Future Career	Within Groups	209.980	147	1.428	.075	.928	NS
	Total	210.193	149				
	Between Groups	.173	2	.087			
School Environment	Within Groups	347.720	147	2.365	.037	.964	NS
	Total	347.893	149				
	Between Groups	1.653	2	.827			NS
Study Skills	Within Groups	226.320	147	1.540	.537	.586	
	Total	227.973	149				
~~~	Between Groups	.040	2	.020	.012	.988	NS
Classroom Environment	Within Groups	250.020	147	1.701			
	Total	250.060	149				
	Between Groups	.173	2	.087			
Teachers Efficiency	Within Groups	261.800	147	1.781	.049	.953	NS
	Total	261.973	149				
Total	Between Groups	2.173	2	1.087			NS
	Within Groups	7584.500	147	51.595	.021	.979	
	Total	7586.673	149				

From the above table all the dimensions show that their significant values are no significant. The calculated significant value of achievement in biology is 0.979 which is greater than 0.05 levels of significance. This shows that there is no significant difference among type of management students at higher secondary level in their achievement in biology. **Hence the hypothesis is accepted.** 

## Hypothesis: 5

There is no significant difference between Tamil medium and English medium students at higher secondary level in their Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions.

Table- 5: Difference in the Achievement in Biology and its Dimensions of Students at Higher S	econdary
Level with Respect to Medium of Instruction	

Medium of Instruction		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	t value	Sig. Level
Interest Level	Tamil	75	22.76	1.496	.173	.919	NS
	English	75	22.73	1.695	.196		
Motivation Level	Tamil	75	21.99	1.257	.145	310	NS
	English	75	22.17	1.018	.118	.519	GNL
Attitude Level	Tamil	75	17.92	1.136	.131	836	NS
	English	75	17.88	1.230	.142	.850	IND .
Study Habits	Tamil	75	23.07	1.446	.167	012	NS
	English	75	23.09	1.490	.172	.712	GNT

# International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education (INT-JECSE) DOI:10.9756/INTJECSE/V14I5.449 ISSN: 1308-5581 Vol 14, Issue 05 2022

Future Career	Tamil	75	18.08	1.183	.137	.945	NS
	English	75	18.07	1.201	.139		
School Environment	Tamil	75	21.99	1.598	.185	.750	NS
	English	75	22.07	1.464	.169		
Study Skills	Tamil	75	22.32	1.327	.153	.793	NS
	English	75	22.37	1.148	.133		
Classroom Environment	Tamil	75	23.19	1.302	.150	.661	NS
	English	75	23.09	1.296	.150		
Teachers Efficiency	Tamil	75	22.68	1.317	.152	.806	NS
	English	75	22.63	1.343	.155		
Total	Tamil	75	193.99	7.335	.847	.918	NS
	English	75	194.11	6.980	.806		

It is inferred from the above table that the overall mean score of Tamil medium students are 193.99 and English medium students are 194.11. This shows that the English medium students have higher achievement in biology than Tamil medium students. All the dimensions show that their 't' values are no significant. The calculated 't' value of achievement in biology is 0.918 which is greater than the significant at 0.05 level. This shows that there is no significant difference between Tamil and English medium students at higher secondary level in their achievement in biology. **Hence the hypothesis is accepted.** 

## FINDINGS

- > There is no significant difference between boys and girls students of higher secondary level in their achievement in biology.
- There is no significant difference between urban schools and rural schools students at higher secondary level in their achievement in biology.
- There is no significant difference among locality of the students at higher secondary level in their achievement in biology.
- Private students have highest level of achievement in biology and govt students have lowest level of achievement in biology.
- There is no significant difference among type of management students at higher secondary level in their achievement in biology.
- There is no significant difference between Tamil and English medium students at higher secondary level in their achievement in biology.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Biology teachers should concentrate the factors needed for learning biology with the appropriate learning strategies in teaching biology can foster capacity of students at the secondary level.

2. Biology teachers should be trained on how to effectively use the lab, learning to enable the students to develop skills for academic achievement.

3. The government, management and stakeholders should provide an enriched learning environment in schools to facilitate the students to study biology for designing future career.

## CONCLUSION

The effective usages of factors mentioned in this present studydetermines the achievement ofbiology students at secondary level. The reinforcement of certain factors in the low performing schools in biology can be done through remedial classes, effective use of teaching methods and technologies for better learning outcome. **REFERENCES** 

- Auwalu, R. A., Mohd, E. T., & Muhammad, B. G. (2014). Academic achievement in biology with suggested solutions in selected secondary schools in Kano state, Nigeria. International Journal of Education and Research, 2(11). ISSN: 2201-6740.D
- Dinah C. Samikwo (2013) 'Factors which influence academic performance in biology in Kenya: a perspective for global competitiveness' International Journal of Current Research, 5(12), pp 4296-4300
- Dilek Sultan ACARLI, Hüseyin Abdurrahman ACARLI. (2020) Examination of students' attitudes towards biology and biologycourse in terms of gender, grade level and pet-keeping. Problems of Education in the21st Century. Vol. 78, No. 3, 2020.
- Ebele Uju F. and Olofu Paul A., (2017) Study habit and its impact on secondary school students' academic performance in biology in the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja. Educational Research and Reviews. Vol. 12(10), pp. 583-588, 23 May, 2017. DOI: 10.5897/ERR2016.3117., ISSN 1990-3839

- Femi Ogunshola and Adewale, A.M (2012) 'The effects of parental socio-economic status on academic performance of students in selected schools in Edu LGA of Kwara state Nigeria' International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 2(7), Pg. 230-239
- Jhoselle Tus et al 2020). The Learners' Study Habits and Its Relation on Their Academic Performance. International Journal of All Research Writings. IJARW | ISSN (O) - 2582-1008 December 2020 | Vol. 2 Issue. 6 Pg. 1-18.
- Onwuakpa, F. W. & Akpan, B.B. (2000). A study of secondary school students' classroom environment in relation to their mathematics achievement. Journal of Science Teachers Association of Nigeria, 35(1&2), 55-62.
- Ryan, R.M. & Deci, E.L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: classic definitions and new directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25, 54–67.
- Sabina Jose. S., Edward William Benjamin.A. (2020) Construction and Validation of Achievement in Biology Tool for the Higher Secondary Students. Sambodhi. Vol-43 No.-04 (II)ISSN: 2249-6661. October-December (2020) Pg. 165-170
- Seiler, G. (2006). Student interest-focused curricula. In K. Tobin (Ed.), Teaching and Learning Science: A Handbook (Vol. 2, pp. 336-344). Westport, CT, US: Praeger.
- Suman Bala (2011) 'Influence of parental education and parental occupation on academic achievement of students' International Referred Research Journal, 3(30), pp 32-33
- Taltont, E. L. & Simpson, R. D. (1985). Relationships between peer and individual attitudes toward science among adolescent students. Science Education, 69: 19-24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sce.3730690103