

THE DISADVANTAGEOUS FACTOR OF TEACHING IN VERNACULAR LANGUAGE – AN INVESTIGATIVE STUDY

Prof.Dr.JR LETCHUMI,
Principal (Rtd),
jrletchumi23@gmail.com

Abstract

The study aimed to identify the disadvantageous factor of teaching in Vernacular Language i.e. in Tamil to U.G. and P.G. Students. For the study, the researcher adopted a descriptive research design. The data was collected using a structured questionnaire employing a simple random sampling technique. The 213 faculties for U.G. and P.G. courses were considered as a sample from Chennai District. From the analysis performed it was understood that, the majority of the faculties in the Chennai district were female. Also, most of the faculties were undertaking courses for U.G. It was also found that there is no significant difference in the disadvantageous factor of teaching in vernacular language as per the opinions of the respondents considered for the study. Further, it was identified that, Students interpreted the teaching and Book Contents differently, Students are unable to reproduce the Content in English during Exams and Reduced Students' Competitiveness in English are the important disadvantageous factor of teaching in vernacular language as per the opinions of the respondents considered for the study.

Keywords: *Teaching, Learning, Vernacular Language*

Introduction

The Dravidian languages Kannada, Telugu, and Malayalam are all based on Tamil, one of the classical languages. The people of Tamilnadu and other southern Indian states regard it as a regional tongue. The Tamil language has traditional, cultural, political, and social effects. The way of life of native Tamil speakers serves as evidence of its potent literary potential (Kingston 2003). The Indian minority in Malaysia and Singapore is primarily composed of Tamil speakers. Tamil is taught as a subject and is used as the primary language of instruction in some schools in Tamil Nadu. However, up until the primary level of education, Tamil is the main language of instruction. Tamil is taught as a subject in secondary schools (Saravanan, V., Lakshmi, S., & Caleon, I., 2007). According to the educational policy of Tamil Nadu, Tamil is the main language of instruction in some colleges.

Literature Review

Generally speaking, education futurology refers to a technique for forecasting future educational outcomes, demand, or requirements by fusing pertinent socioeconomic and cultural data with the appropriate technology (Thamburaj, K. P., & Ponniah, K., 2020). When taught in vernacular language, the practise itself requires a clear anticipation of future requirements that must be predicted now, for actualization in the future. Through the use of futurology, the students' abilities in the teaching and learning process will be enhanced (Halili, S. H., & Suguneswary, S., 2017). Interpretation is a complex task that combines a number of skills beyond language proficiency in order to deliver an effective professional interpretation in a particular setting (Gupta, B., & Ponniah, I., 2010). As a result, hiring interpreters requires great care, and the best candidates should be chosen for the position. The timeline's uncompleted portion, or the place in space-time where all unfinished events are located, is known as the future. The future is distinct from the past in this way. Therefore, it is believed that it is crucial for students to develop the capacity to overcome challenges in the future (Thamburaj, K. P., 2015).

Objective

The study aimed to identify the disadvantageous factor of teaching in Vernacular Language i.e. in Tamil to U.G. and P.G. Students.

Methodology

For the study, the researcher adopted a descriptive research design. The data was collected using a structured questionnaire employing a simple random sampling technique. The 213 faculties for U.G. and P.G. courses were considered as a sample from Chennai District.

Analysis and Interpretation

Herein analysis was carried out to identify the demographic profile of the respondents considered for the study.

Table No. 1: Demographic Profile of the Respondents

		Frequency	Percent
Gender	Male	91	42.7
	Female	122	57.3
	Total	213	100.0
Education	PG	96	45.1
	UG	117	54.9
	Total	213	100.0

Source: (Primary data)

From the percentage analysis, it can be interpreted that the majority of the faculties in the Chennai district were female. Also, most of the faculties were undertaking courses for U.G.

Herein analysis was carried out to identify whether there is any significant difference in the advantageous factor of teaching in vernacular language as per the opinions of the respondents considered for the study.

Table No. 2: Multivariate Test – Disadvantageous factor of Teaching in Vernacular Language

Multivariate Tests						
Effect		Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	Sig.
Gender	Pillai's Trace	.009	.387 ^b	5.000	206.000	.858
	Wilks' Lambda	.991	.387 ^b	5.000	206.000	.858
	Hotelling's Trace	.009	.387 ^b	5.000	206.000	.858
	Roy's Largest Root	.009	.387 ^b	5.000	206.000	.858
Education	Pillai's Trace	.011	.454 ^b	5.000	206.000	.810
	Wilks' Lambda	.989	.454 ^b	5.000	206.000	.810
	Hotelling's Trace	.011	.454 ^b	5.000	206.000	.810
	Roy's Largest Root	.011	.454 ^b	5.000	206.000	.810
Tests of Between-Subjects Effects						
Source		Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Gender	Reduced Students' Competitiveness in English	.011	1	.011	.013	.911
	Students unable to reproduce the Content in English during Exams	.858	1	.858	1.111	.293
	Required Significant preparation before class	.689	1	.689	.695	.405
	Fewer marks in Exams by Students	.039	1	.039	.050	.823
	Students interpreted the teaching and Book Contents differently	.008	1	.008	.010	.921
Education	Reduced Students' Competitiveness in English	.156	1	.156	.176	.675
	Students unable to reproduce the Content in English during Exams	.079	1	.079	.102	.750
	Required Significant preparation before class	.899	1	.899	.907	.342
	Fewer marks in Exams by Students	.004	1	.004	.005	.945
	Students interpreted the teaching and Book Contents differently	.292	1	.292	.382	.537

Source: (Primary data)

The estimated Pillai's trace significance value is greater than 0.05, meaning the null hypothesis is accepted. Therefore there is no significant difference in the disadvantageous factor of teaching in vernacular language as per the opinions of the respondents considered for the study.

Herein analysis was carried out to identify the important disadvantageous factor of teaching in vernacular language as per the opinions of the respondents considered for the study.

Table No. 3: Rank Analysis –Disadvantageous factor of Teaching in Vernacular Language

Descriptive Statistics			
	N	Mean	Rank
Reduced Students' Competitiveness in English	213	4.3005	3
Students unable to reproduce the Content in English during Exams	213	4.3052	2
Required Significant preparation before class	213	3.9202	5
Fewer marks in Exams by Students	213	3.9624	4
Students interpreted the teaching and Book Contents differently	213	4.3239	1

Source: (Primary data)

From the rank analysis made using the mean score, it can be interpreted that; Students interpreted the teaching and Book Contents differently, Students are unable to reproduce the Content in English during Exams and Reduced Students' Competitiveness in English are the important disadvantageous factor of teaching in vernacular language as per the opinions of the respondents considered for the study.

Findings and Conclusion

From the analysis performed it was understood that, the majority of the faculties in the Chennai district were female. Also, most of the faculties were undertaking courses for U.G. It was also found that there is no significant difference in the disadvantageous factor of teaching in vernacular language as per the opinions of the respondents considered for the study. Further, it was identified that, Students interpreted the teaching and Book Contents differently, Students are unable to reproduce the Content in English during Exams and Reduced Students' Competitiveness in English are the important disadvantageous factor of teaching in vernacular language as per the opinions of the respondents considered for the study.

References

Gupta, B., &Ponniah, I. (2010). The pattern of odontogenic tumors in a government teaching hospital in the southern Indian state of Tamil Nadu. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology*, 110(1), e32-e39.

Halili, S. H., &Suguneswary, S. (2017). Penerimaan guru terhadappenggunaan teknologimaklumatdankomunikasiberasaskan model Tam dalam pengajaranmatapelajaran Bahasa Tamil. *JuKu: Jurnal Kurikulum & Pengajaran Asia Pasifik*, 4(2), 31-41.

Saravanan, V., Lakshmi, S., &Caleon, I. (2007). Attitudes towards literary Tamil and standard spoken Tamil in Singapore. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 10(1), 58-79.

Thamburaj, K. P. (2015). Promoting scientific ideas through the future studies in Tamil language teaching. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 2084-2089.

Thamburaj, K. P., &Ponniah, K. (2020). The Use of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning in Teaching and Learning Tamil Grammar. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, 17(10), 843-849.