International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education (INT-JECSE)
DOI:10.48047/intjecse/v16i4.56 ISSN: 1308-5581 Vol 16, Issue 04 2024

The Problematic Use of Numbers in Behavioral Sciences

Ahmed Djelloul[1,3] ,Hynd Ghedhaifi[1,3],NaouiBettaher[1,2] , AtikaGherghout[1,2], Abdelmalek Haba[4]
[1]University of El Oued (Algeria)
[2]Measurement and Psychological Studies Laboratory, University of Blida 02 (Algeria)
[3]Social Development and Community Service Laboratory, University of El Oued (Algeria)
[4]University of Medea (Algeria)
Email:ahmed-djelloul@univ-eloud.dz,ghedhaifi-hynd@univ-eloued.dz ,naoui-bettahar@univ-eloud.dz ,atika-gherghout@univ-eloued.dz,haba.abdelmalek@univ-medea.dz

Received: 02/04/2024, Accepted: 27/08/2024, Published: 19/09/2024

Abstract:
Numbers are a fundamental tool in behavioral sciences, helping to transform complex phenomena into measurable and analyzable data, enabling researchers to understand human behavior and test scientific hypotheses. However, researchers face challenges in selecting appropriate measurement scales and understanding statistical analyses, which can lead to inaccurate conclusions. Additionally, cultural and linguistic factors can influence how participants interpret numbers in studies. To overcome these challenges, researchers must handle numbers with precision and deep understanding to ensure the reliability and effectiveness of their research.
Keywords: Numbers, Behavioral Sciences

Introduction:

Numbers are the language of science, and they are the tool through which observations and experiments are converted into measurable and analyzable knowledge. In behavioral sciences, numbers enable researchers to understand behavioral patterns, assess the effectiveness of interventions, and predict future phenomena (Heine, 2016, p. 67). Since the late 19th century, with the development of psychology as an experimental science, the use of numbers and statistics has become essential in behavioral research (Likert, 1932, p. 9).

However, the use of numbers is not without challenges. Researchers face various issues, from selecting appropriate measurement scales to understanding and applying statistical analyses, as well as interpreting results accurately. Additionally, cultural and linguistic factors can influence how numbers are understood and received by participants in studies (Van de Vijver& Leung, 1997, p. 112).

Thus, it is crucial to study these challenges in depth. Understanding the issues related to the use of numbers and their applications not only improves the quality of research but also ensures that the results are reliable and can be trusted in developing theories and practical applications (Maxwell, 2010, p. 478).

1. The Importance of Numbers in Behavioral Sciences:

Numbers are a fundamental tool for converting complex behavioral phenomena into measurable and analyzable data. They allow researchers to test hypotheses and draw evidence-based conclusions (Cohen, 1988, p. 23). Without numbers, it would be difficult to assess the effectiveness of interventions or understand relationships between variables.

Numbers enable the measurement of abstract variables such as attitudes, beliefs, abilities, and behaviors, allowing them to be systematically and objectively analyzed.

They also allow researchers to formulate and test scientific hypotheses systematically. Statistical analysis determines whether the observed relationships or differences between variables are statistically significant or merely due to chance (Field, 2018, p. 85). For example, tests like ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) or linear regression help reveal complex relationships between variables, contributing to the development of strong explanatory and predictive models of human behavior.

In fields such as clinical psychology, education, and social work, numbers are used to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions and programs applied to individuals or groups. By measuring changes in behavior or performance before and after the intervention, success can be assessed, and areas needing improvement can be identified (Kazdin, 2017, p. 67). This contributes to enhancing the quality of services

provided and directing resources more efficiently, which has a positive impact on individuals and communities.

Numbers also help reveal relationships between different variables, whether causal or correlational. Through advanced statistical analyses such as Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), direct and indirect effects between behavioral factors can be understood (Kline, 2016, p. 102). This understanding is crucial for developing comprehensive theories of human behavior and identifying factors that can be intervened upon to bring about positive changes.

The use of numbers enhances the objectivity of scientific research, reducing subjective influences and personal biases. Quantitative data provide a standardized way to measure phenomena, making it easier to replicate studies and verify their results (Johnson & Christensen, 2017, p. 96). Additionally, the use of numbers helps unify terminology and concepts among researchers, promoting scientific communication and enabling the comparison of results across different studies.

Numbers allow researchers to present their results clearly and systematically through tables, graphs, and descriptive statistics. This makes it easier for others to understand, evaluate, and compare the results with other studies (APA, 2020, p. 128). Moreover, numbers help generalize findings to larger populations, increasing the research's usefulness and practical applications across various fields.

Despite the many benefits, using numbers requires specialized skills and knowledge in statistics and measurement scales. Errors in data collection, choosing inappropriate scales, or misinterpreting results can lead to incorrect or misleading conclusions (Stevens, 1946, p. 677). Therefore, researchers must ensure the accuracy and validity of the numbers they use and adhere to ethical and methodological standards to guarantee the reliability of their research.

The Importance of Numbers in Behavioral Sciences:

The significance of numbers in behavioral sciences extends beyond the academic field into everyday life. In areas such as education, numbers are used to assess student performance, identify educational needs, and develop curricula (Woolfolk, 2019, p. 210). In the workplace, they are used to measure employee satisfaction, productivity, and to develop human resource policies. This demonstrates that numbers are not merely research tools but are integral to decision-making and policy development across various levels.

Numbers are the backbone of behavioral sciences, providing the necessary tools to measure, analyze, and understand complex phenomena. By using numbers correctly and consciously, researchers can make valuable contributions that enhance our understanding of human behavior and help develop effective interventions and programs (Kaplan &Saccuzzo, 2018, p. 47). However, this requires a commitment to scientific and ethical standards, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of data and analyses, which guarantees that the derived results reflect reality and can be relied upon for future applications.

2. Issues with the Use of Numbers in Behavioral Sciences

2.1. Measurement Scales

Different measurement scales are used in behavioral sciences, such as nominal, ordinal, interval, and ratio scales. Failing to distinguish between these scales can lead to the use of inappropriate statistical methods, which affects the validity of the results (Stevens, 1946, p. 678).

Measurement scales play a fundamental role in research design and data analysis in behavioral sciences. They determine the nature of the data collected and directly influence the appropriate statistical analyses to be used. There are four main measurement scales: nominal, ordinal, interval, and ratio. Understanding these scales and distinguishing between them is vital to ensuring the validity of the results and conclusions drawn from research.

The nominal scale is used to classify data into distinct categories without any ranking or order. This scale is characterized by the absence of quantitative or ordinal value for the data. An example would be categorizing individuals by gender (male/female) or marital status (single, married, divorced). In this scale, the numbers assigned to categories are merely symbols and carry no quantitative meaning (Stevens, 1946, p. 678).

The ordinal scale allows for ranking or ordering of categories or data in ascending or descending order, but it does not indicate the differences between these categories. An example would be ranking competitors in a race (first, second, third) or rating satisfaction levels (very satisfied, satisfied, neutral, dissatisfied, very dissatisfied). In this scale, we know the order of categories, but we do not know the distances between each category (Allen & Seaman, 2007, p. 64).

The interval scale provides information about the order and the differences between categories. This scale is characterized by equal differences between values, but there is no true zero point (absolute zero). An example of this would be temperature measured in degrees Celsius, where the difference between 20 and 30 degrees is the same as the difference between 30 and 40 degrees, but zero does not indicate the complete absence of temperature (DeVellis, 2017, p. 45).

ratio scale:is the most advanced, as it includes all the features of the previous scales, in addition to having a true zero point that indicates the absence of the measured property. This allows for multiple mathematical operations, such as ratios and averages. For example, weight, height, and time can be measured on a ratio scale, where one could say that a person weighing 60 kg weighs twice as much as someone weighing 30 kg (Kline, 2015, p. 29).

Failure to differentiate between these scales can lead to the use of inappropriate statistical analyses, which affects the validity of the results. For instance, using the arithmetic mean is unsuitable for nominal and ordinal data, where interval or ratio scales are required. Instead, using the mode or median is preferred for ordinal data (Field, 2018, p. 21).

Furthermore, the choice of statistical tests heavily depends on the type of scale being used. The t-test, for example, requires data on at least an interval scale, whereas the chi-square test is applicable for nominal data (Gravetter&Wallnau, 2016, p. 236). If an inappropriate test is used for a given scale, the results may be inaccurate or misleading.

For instance, treating Likert scale data (which is considered ordinal) as interval data might be acceptable in some cases, but researchers must be cautious. This could lead to incorrect assumptions about the differences between categories and thus inaccurate conclusions (Jamieson, 2004, p. 1217).

The effects of failing to differentiate include:

- **Unreliable results**: The relationships between variables may appear exaggerated or misrepresented.
- **Incorrect interpretations**: Data may be interpreted in ways that do not align with their actual nature.
- **Faulty decisions**: In practical applications, this can lead to decisions that are not based on accurate evidence.

To overcome these issues, researchers are advised to:

- Gain a deep understanding of the measurement scales: Understand the characteristics of each scale and the data they handle.
- Choose appropriate statistical analyses: Ensure that the analysis matches the type of scale being used.
- Consult statistical experts when necessary: To receive guidance on best practices.
- Clarify the scale used in reports: Increase transparency and help readers better understand the results.

Distinguishing between different measurement scales is essential to ensuring the accuracy and reliability of research in the behavioral sciences. Using appropriate scales and statistical tests leads to more precise results, thereby contributing to the advancement of scientific knowledge and practical applications in the field.

3. Statistical Data Analysis

Misunderstanding statistical concepts leads to incorrect interpretations. For example, using parametric tests with data that do not follow a normal distribution (Field, 2013, p. 85).

Statistical data analysis is a crucial element in behavioral research, enabling researchers to interpret data and draw evidence-based conclusions. However, a lack of understanding of statistical concepts and the use of inappropriate methods can result in erroneous interpretations and misleading outcomes (Field, 2018, p. 85).

Misunderstanding statistical concepts may stem from several factors, including failure to adhere to the basic assumptions of statistical tests, using tests unsuitable for the nature of the data, and neglecting necessary statistical standards. This can negatively affect the validity and reliability of the results and, consequently, the decisions based on these findings.

Misuse of Parametric Tests

One common example of misunderstanding statistical concepts is the use of parametric tests with data that do not follow a normal distribution. Parametric tests, such as the t-test and ANOVA (Analysis of Variance), assume that the data:

- Follow a normal distribution.
- Have homogeneity of variance between groups.
- Exhibit independencebetween observations.

When these assumptions are violated, the results of these tests become unreliable (Tabachnick&Fidell, 2019, p. 123).

For instance, if the data are highly skewed or contain outliers, using a t-test could lead to incorrect results, suggesting statistically significant differences that are not genuine (Osborne & Waters, 2002, p. 8). In such cases, it is better to use non-parametric tests, which do not require the same assumptions, such as the Mann-Whitney U test or the Kruskal-Wallis test (Pallant, 2020, p. 75).

Assumptions of Normality and Homogeneity

Ignoring the testing of assumptions like normal distribution and homogeneity of variance can lead to the application of inappropriate tests. Researchers should conduct tests such as the Shapiro-Wilk test or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to assess the distribution of the data (Sheskin, 2011, p. 303). Additionally, Levene's Test can be used to check for homogeneity of variance between groups (Field, 2018, p. 205).

If these assumptions are violated, researchers should consider:

- Transforming the data to approximate normal distribution, using techniques like logarithmic or square root transformations (Tabachnick&Fidell, 2019, p. 86).
- Using non-parametric tests that do not require the assumption of normal distribution.

Impact on Study Power

Misunderstanding statistical concepts can also affect the statistical power of a study, which refers to its ability to detect true effects. Using a small sample size without considering power calculations may result in failing to detect statistically significant differences, increasing the likelihood of a Type II error (failing to reject a false null hypothesis) (Cohen, 1988, p. 24). On the other hand, using an excessively large sample size may detect minor differences that are not practically significant.

Properly understanding and applying statistical concepts ensures that the study's conclusions are based on valid and reliable evidence, ultimately improving the quality and impact of behavioral research.

Correlation and Causation:

One of the most misunderstood statistical concepts is distinguishing between correlation and causation. A strong correlation between two variables does not necessarily mean that one causes the other (Hayes, 2018, p. 89). There may be a third variable (a mediator or confounder) explaining the relationship. Misinterpreting correlations can lead to incorrect conclusions and inappropriate applications in practice.

Handling Outliers:

Neglecting outliers and not addressing them properly can significantly impact statistical results. Outliers can skew means and regression analyses, leading to results that do not accurately represent the actual data (Tabachnick&Fidell, 2019, p. 72). Researchersshould:

- Carefully examine the data to identify outliers.
- Determine the reasons for their presence: Are they due to input errors, or are they part of natural variance?
- Make appropriate decisions: They may exclude outliers or use statistical methods that tolerate them.

Choosing Appropriate Statistical Tests:

Failing to select the correct statistical test for the nature of the data and research questions is a common mistake. For example, using linear regression with data that do not meet the assumptions of linearity or independence can lead to inaccurate results (Field, 2018, p. 238). Researchersshould:

- Understand the assumptions associated with each test.
- Ensure that the data meet these assumptions before applying the test.
- Opt for alternative tests when needed, such as logistic regression for binary variables.

Overinterpretation of Statistical Significance:

Focusing too much on p-values without considering effect size or practical significance is a common mistake. Differences may be statistically significant but not practically meaningful (Cohen, 1994, p. 100). Researchers are advised to present:

- **Effect size**: To measure the strength of relationships or differences.
- **Confidence intervals**: To estimate the precision of parameter estimates.

ImprovingStatistical Data Analysis:

1. **Continuous Statistical Training**: Strengthen researchers' skills in statistical concepts and their practical applications (Creswell & Creswell, 2018, p. 202). This can be achieved through workshops, training courses, and reading specialized literature.

2. **Consulting Statistical Experts**: When needed, consult statisticians to ensure the appropriate use of tests and accurate interpretation of results.
3. **Using Statistical Software Cautiously**: Although software like SPSS and R facilitates analyses, researchers must understand statistical concepts to avoid errors (Pallant, 2020, p. 18).
4. **Verifying Statistical Assumptions**: Before conducting tests, ensure that the basic assumptions are checked to guarantee accurate results.
5. **Transparent Reporting**: Document the statistical steps taken and mention any violations of assumptions and how they were addressed.
6. **Focusing on Practical Significance**: Along with statistical significance, consider the practical importance of the results and their real-world impact.

Understanding statistical concepts and analyzing data correctly is crucial for ensuring the accuracy and reliability of results in behavioral research. Misunderstanding these concepts can lead to false conclusions, which negatively affect knowledge development and practical applications. Through proper training and adherence to scientific methodologies, researchers can avoid these errors and improve the quality of their research, contributing to the advancement of behavioral sciences and their positive impact on society.

4. Cultural and Linguistic Influences

The format and presentation of numbers can affect how study participants interpret them, particularly in multicultural settings (Van de Vijver& Leung, 1997, p. 112).

Usage of Numbers

1. **Quantitative and Qualitative Data**:
   While numbers are typically associated with quantitative data, converting qualitative data into numbers can lead to a loss of important meanings (Maxwell, 2010, p. 478).
2. **Use of Likert Scales**:
   Likert scales are commonly used to measure attitudes and opinions, but their statistical analysis must be handled carefully to ensure accurate results (Likert, 1932, p. 9).
3. **Bias in Data Collection**:
   The design of questionnaires and the way numbers are presented can lead to biases, affecting the accuracy of collected data (Tourangeau, Rips, &Rasinski, 2000, p. 256).

Challenges in Interpreting Numbers

Interpreting numbers requires a deep understanding of the context and the statistical methods used. Common errorsinclude:

- **Overgeneralization**: Applying results from a limited study to broader populations without justification (Shadish, Cook, & Campbell, 2002, p. 513).
- **Correlation vs. Causation**: Assuming a causal relationship between two variables simply because they are correlated (Hayes, 2013, p. 89).

Conclusion

Numbers are a crucial element in behavioral sciences, but their use requires precision and caution to ensure the accuracy of results. By understanding the issues related to the form and use of numbers, researchers can improve the quality of their studies and contribute effectively to the advancement of knowledge in the behavioral field.

References

- **Allen, I. E., & Seaman, C. A.** (2007). Likert scales and data analyses. *Quality Progress*, 40(7), 64-65.
- **American Psychological Association.** (2020). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (7th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- **Cohen, J.** (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd ed.). New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- **Cohen, J.** (1994). The earth is round ($p < .05$). *American Psychologist*, 49(12), 997-1003.
- **Creswell, J. W.** (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- **Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D.** (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- **DeVellis, R. F.** (2017). *Scale Development: Theory and Applications* (4th ed.). Los Angeles: Sage Publications.

- **Field, A.** (2013). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics* (4th ed.). London: Sage Publications.
- **Field, A.** (2018). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics* (5th ed.). London: Sage Publications.
- **Gravetter, F. J., &Wallnau, L. B.** (2016). *Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences* (10th ed.). Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.
- **Hayes, A. F.** (2013). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach*. New York: Guilford Press.
- **Hayes, A. F.** (2018). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- **Heine, S. J.** (2016). *Cultural Psychology* (3rd ed.). New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
- **Jamieson, S.** (2004). Likert scales: How to (ab)use them. *Medical Education*, 38(12), 1217-1218.
- **Johnson, B., & Christensen, L.** (2017). *Educational Research: Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Approaches* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- **Kaplan, R. M., &Saccuzzo, D. P.** (2018). *Psychological Testing: Principles, Applications, and Issues* (9th ed.). Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.
- **Kazdin, A. E.** (2017). *Research Design in Clinical Psychology* (5th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- **Kline, R. B.** (2016). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling* (4th ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- **Kline, T. J. B.** (2015). *Psychological Testing: A Practical Approach to Design and Evaluation*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- **Likert, R.** (1932). A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives of Psychology*, 22(140), 1–55.
- **Maxwell, J. A.** (2010). Using numbers in qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(6), 475-482.
- **Osborne, J. W., & Waters, E.** (2002). Four assumptions of multiple regression that researchers should always test. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 8(2), 1-9.
- **Pallant, J.** (2020). *SPSS Survival Manual: A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis Using IBM SPSS* (7th ed.). London: Routledge.
- **Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T.** (2002). *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- **Sheskin, D. J.** (2011). *Handbook of Parametric and Nonparametric Statistical Procedures* (5th ed.). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- **Stevens, S. S.** (1946). On the theory of scales of measurement. *Science*, 103(2684), 677-680.
- **Tabachnick, B. G., &Fidell, L. S.** (2019). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson Education.
- **Tourangeau, R., Rips, L. J., &Rasinski, K.** (2000). *The Psychology of Survey Response*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- **Van de Vijver, F., & Leung, K.** (1997). *Methods and Data Analysis for Cross-Cultural Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- **Woolfolk, A.** (2019). *Educational Psychology* (14th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.